

	Special Process:	Plating System Assessm	ent			
Facility Name: Protec Finishing	Ltd.					
Address: 1820 Bonhill Road, Mis	ssissauga, Ontario L5T 1C	24				
Phone Number:	(905) 565-5338	Type(s) of Plating Processir	ng at this Facility:			
Fax Number:	(905) 564-2206	Process Table A				
		Zinc	Enterprise Barrel Line, Lake Barrel Line Barrel Post Treat Line			
Number of Plating Employees at	t this Facility: 35					
Captive Plater (Y/N):	N	Process Table B				
Commercial Plater (Y/N):	Yes	Surface Conditioning of Metals for Decorative Plating	N/A			
Date of Assessment:	10-Dec-2011	Process Table C				
		Surface Conditioning of ABS & PCABS Plastics for Decorative Plating	N/A			
Date of Previous Assessment:	10-Dec-2010	, and the second				
	•	Process Table D				
		Decorative Plating	N/A			
		Process Table E				
		Mechanical Plating	N/A			
		Process Table F				
		Equipment				
		Process Equipment				

Current Quality Certification(s):	ISO 9000:2008 ISO 14001:2004
Date of Re-assessment (if necess	ary):

Title:	Phone:	Email;
Assistant Plant Manager	(905) 564-5338	ross.rice@acadiangroup.ce
Q.C. Supervisor	(905) 564-5338	garry.diotte@acadiangroup.ca
	Assistant Plant Manager	Assistant Plant Manager (905) 564-5338

Auditors/Assessors:										
Name:	Company:	Phone: Email:								
Jim Aide - Corporate Quality										
Assurance Manager	Acadian Group of Companies	(905) 565-8866	jim.aide@acadiangroup.ca							

Number of "Not Satisfactory" Findings:	None
Number of "Needs Immediate Action" Findings:	None



# Special Process: Plating System Assessment

Version 1 Issued 8/07



١	Number of	f "Fail"	Findings	in the	Job .	Audit(s	):	None
								1



	T					Assessment		
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
		Section 1 - Management Re	esponsibility and Quality Pla	nniı	ng			
1.1	Is there a dedicated and qualified plating person onsite?	To ensure readily available expertise, there shall be a dedicated and qualified plating person on the site. This individual shall be a full-time employee and the position shall be reflected in the organization chart. A job description shall exist identifying the qualifications for the position including chemical and plating knowledge. The qualifications shall include a minimum of 5 years experience in plating and surface finishing or a combination of formal chemistry/chemical engineering education and plating experience totaling a minimum of 5 years.	Quality Control Supervisor and Plant Manager meet the described requirement for a "qualified coating person" on site. These positions are detailed in the Quality Manual organization chart. The Training database details the required training for these personnel.		Meets specified requirements			
1.2	Does the plater perform advanced quality planning?	The organization shall incorporate a documented advance quality planning procedure. A feasibility study shall be performed and internally approved for each part. Similar parts can be grouped into part families for this effort as defined by the organization. After the part approval process is approved by the customer, no process changes are allowed unless approved by the customer. The plater shall contact the customer when clarification of process changes is required. This clarification of process changes shall be documented.	APQP is done during the Quotation process.During this process the finish requirement, processing specification, and part configuration are evaluated to confirm that we have the capability of producing the part.Should the process not be able to meet the specified requirements either a Deviation Note is add to the quotation detailing the required deviation or the customer is informed that we are unable to meet the specified requirements		Meets specified requirements			
1.3	Are plater FMEA's up to date and reflecting current processing?	The organization shall incorporate the use of a documented Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) procedure and ensure the FMEAs are updated to reflect current part quality status. The FMEA shall be written for each part or part family or they may be process-specific and written for each process. In any case, they shall address all process steps from part receipt to part shipment and all key plating process parameters as defined by the organization. A cross-functional team shall be used in the development of the FMEA. All characteristics, as defined by the organization and its customers, shall be identified, defined, and addressed in the FMEA.	FMEAs are on file and updated as required. PFMEAs are process specific.		Meets specified requirements			



					Assessment			
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
1.4	Are finish process control plans up to date and reflecting current processing?	The organization shall incorporate the use of a documented Control Plan procedure and ensure the Control Plans are updated to reflect current controls. The Control Plans shall be written for each part or part family or they may be process-specific and written for each process. In any case, they shall address all process steps from part receipt to part shipment and identify all equipment used and all key plating process parameters as defined by the organization. A cross-functional team, including a production operator, shall be used in the development of Control Plans, which shall be consistent with all associated documentation such as work instructions, shop travelers, and FMEAs. All special characteristics, as defined by the organization and its customers, shall be identified, defined, and addressed in the Control Plans. Sample sizes and Frequencies for evaluation of process and product characteristics shall also be addressed consistent with the minimum requirements listed in the Process Tables.	Control Plans are in place for each process. Control Plans are up to date and reflect current process		Meets specified requirements			
1.5	Are all plating related and referenced specifications current and available? For example: SAE, AIAG, ASTM, General Motors, Ford, and DaimlerChrysler.	To ensure all customer requirements are both understood and satisfied, the organization shall have all related plating and customer referenced standards and specifications available for use and a method to ensure that they are current. Such standards and specifications include, but are not limited to, those relevant documents published by SAE, AIAG, ASTM, General Motors, Ford, and DaimlerChrysler. The organization shall have a process to ensure the timely review, distribution, and implementation of all customer and industry engineering standards and specifications and changes based on customer-required schedule. This process shall be executed as soon as possible and shall not exceed two weeks. The organization shall document this process of review and implementation, and it shall address how customer and industry documents are obtained, how they are maintained within the organization, how the current status is established, and how the relevant information is cascaded to the shop floor within the two-week period. The organization shall identify who is responsible for performing these tasks.	All specification are electronically controlled in the System 9000 Specification Database. General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, DIN, ASTM, SAE USCAR, Delphi Volvo specifications are updated and are on automatic review using Lotus Notes System. Honda, Nissan, Toyota and Mazda can only be updated when customer supplies updated specification. There are no web sites for these specifications and these companies will only issue their specifications to their suppliers.  All Quotations submitted to customers clearly identify the Issue date of the specification the parts are being quoted to.		Meets specified requirements			



		Assessment						
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
1.6	Is there a written process specification for all active processes?	The plater shall have written process specifications for all active processes and identify all steps of the process including relevant operating parameters. Examples of operating parameters include process temperatures, cycle times, load rates, rectifier settings, etc. Such parameters shall not only be defined, they shall have operating tolerances as defined by the organization in order to maintain process control. All active processes should have a written process specification. These process specifications may take the form of work instructions, job card, computer-based recipes, or other similar documents.	Process requirements are detailed on Process Control Plans. Requirements are also noted on appropriate documents used to document process parameter verification/analysis. These documents are all found in the System 9000 Released Document database. Completed documents with verification/analysis results can be found in Lab.		Meets specified requirements			
1.7	Has a valid product capability study been performed initially and after process change?	To demonstrate each process is capable of yielding acceptable product the organization shall perform product capability studies for the initial validation of each process, after relocation of any process equipment, and after a major rebuild of any equipment. The organization shall define what constitutes a major rebuild. Initial product capability studies shall be conducted for all plating processes per line as defined in scope of work and in accordance with customer requirements. Capability study techniques shall be appropriate for the plating product characteristics, e.g. plate thickness, corrosion resistance, etc Any specific customer requirements shall be met. In the absence of customer requirements, the organization shall establish acceptable ranges for measures of capability. An action plan shall exist to address the steps to be followed in case capability indices fall outside customer requirements or established ranges.	Process capabilities are performed a minimum of once per year. Studies are done on a barrel with a minimum Ppk of 1.67. Reviewed studied from all lines. All processes show a Ppk greater than 1.67		Meets specified requirements			
1.8	Does the plater collect and analyze data over time, and react to this data?	The analysis of products and processes over time can yield vital information for defect prevention efforts. The organization shall have a system to collect, analyze, and react to product or process data over time. Methods of analysis shall include ongoing trend or historical data analysis of special product or process parameters. The organization shall determine which parameters to include in such analysis.			Meets specified requirements			



						Assessment		
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
1.9	Does management review and verify bake oven logs for parts requiring hydrogen embrittlement relief every 24 hours?	Management shall review the oven monitoring systems/logs at intervals not to exceed 24 hours or prior to parts being released for shipment. The plater shall have reaction plans for nonconformances to process requirements. This is to contain, at minimum, requirements for quarantining material and notifying customer.	Bake Logs are reviewed and signed off on a daily basis by the Assistant Plant Manager or the Q.C Supervisor. There is a reaction plan in place for nonconformances to process requirements.		Meets specified requirements			
1.10	Are internal assessments being completed on an annual basis, at a minimum, incorporating AIAG PSA?	The organization shall conduct internal assessments on an annual basis, at a minimum, using the AIAG PSA. Concerns shall be addressed in a timely manner.	Internal Audits are performed on a regular basis a minimum of once per year by trained Internal Auditor. Audits cover all areas related to part including the quotation process, the coating process and the inspection process. Internal Audits based on CQI-11 or 12 are completed once per year by the Corporate Quality Assurance Manager. Final Product Audits are performed a minimum of once per year as part of the annual CQI Audits. There is a Third Party Audit (ICS) a minimum of once per year at all facilities as part of ISO 9000:2008 Registration. Records of both Internal and Third Party Audits are maintained in System 9000 Automotive Internal Audit database.		Meets specified requirements			
1.11	Is there a system in place to authorize reprocessing and is it documented?	The quality management system shall include a documented process for reprocessing that shall include authorization from a designated individual. The reprocessing procedure shall describe product characteristics for which reprocessing is allowed as well as those characteristics for which reprocessing is not permissible. All reprocessing activity shall require a new processing control sheet issued by qualified technical personnel denoting the necessary plating modifications. Records shall clearly indicate when and how any material has been reprocessed. The Quality Manager or a designee shall authorize the release of reprocessed product.	WI-0161 details process for reprocessing parts. This includes identification of personnel authorized to approve rework. As process includes use of acid pickle the coating on the nonconforming parts will be removed and the part returned to the bare metal state. As this is all part of the normal plating cycle a new process control sheet is required. Computer records document the reworked material. Should the rework be material returned from the customer (external rework) a new Shop Order is created for the parts. The exceptions are hardened steel parts. These parts must be baked as per specified requirements prior to reprocessing.		Meets specified requirements			





Assessment								
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
1.12	Does the Quality Department review, address, and document customer and internal concerns?	The quality management system shall include a process for documenting, reviewing, and addressing customer concerns and any other concerns internal to the organization. A disciplined problem-solving approach shall be used.	All Customer Complaints/concerns are documented in them Customer Management database. Customer Complaints are entered and where required an 8D is generated showing the steps taken to resolve the issue. Where internal issues are found (I.e. from analysis to Pareto analysis) corrective actions are documented.		Meets specified requirements			
1.13	Is there a continual improvement plan applicable to each process defined in the scope of the assessment?	The plater shall define a process for continual improvement for each plating process identified in the scope of the PSA. The process shall be designed to bring about continual improvement in quality and productivity. Identified actions shall be prioritized and shall include timing (estimated completion dates). The organization shall show evidence of program effectiveness.	There are continual improvement projects on file for some processes. Not all plating process has it own project. There have been several projects implemented but not documented.		Meets specified requirements			
1.14	Does the Quality Manager or designee authorize the disposition of material from quarantine status?	The Quality Manager or designee is responsible for authorizing and documenting appropriate personnel to disposition quarantine material.	All material that has been placed in the quarantine area can only be released by a member of the MRB. MRB consists of Assistant Plant Manager, Q.C Supervisor, or Operations Manager. This is detailed in SOP-0015.		Meets specified requirements			
1.15	Are there procedures or work instructions available to plating personnel that define the plating process?	There shall be procedures and work instructions available to plating personnel covering the plating process. These procedures or work instructions shall include methods of addressing potential emergencies (such as power failure), equipment start-up, equipment shut-down, product segregation (See 2.8), product inspection, and general operating procedures. These procedures or work instructions shall be accessible to shop floor personnel.	There are documented work instructions covering the coating process. These work instructions cover equipment startup, equipment shutdown, product identification, product traceability, product inspection requirements and criteria, and process monitoring. These work instructions and procedures also include methods of addressing emergency situations. See WI-0225 Work Instructions are maintained in the System 9000 Document Control Database and are reviewed a minimum of once per year. All Procedures, Work Instructions and Forms are maintained as Controlled Documents. Changes to documents go through an Approval Process prior to release of the document for use. Where the employee does not have computer access hard copies of the appropriate procedures and work instructions are maintained.		Meets specified requirements			



Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Assessment  Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
1.16	Is management providing employee training for plating?	The organization shall provide employee training for all plating operations. All employees, including backup and temporary employees, shall be trained. Documented evidence shall be maintained showing the employees trained and the evidence shall include an assessment of the effectiveness of the training. Management shall define the qualification requirements for each function, and ongoing or follow-up training shall also be addressed.	Formal training program in place. All training documented in Training Database. Training database details training requirements for each job function and shows when training is complete.		Meets specified requirements			
1.17	Is there a responsibility matrix to ensure that all key management and supervisory functions are performed by qualified personnel?	The organization shall maintain a responsibility matrix identifying all key management and supervisory functions and the qualified personnel who may perform such functions. It shall identify both primary and secondary (backup) personnel for the key functions (as defined by the organization). This matrix shall be readily available to management at all times.	Training Database shows personnel trained for specific functions. If personnel have been trained for more than one job function this is also noted on the Training Database.		Meets specified requirements			
1.18	Is there a preventive maintenance program? Is maintenance data being utilized to form a predictive maintenance program?	The organization shall have a documented preventive maintenance program for key process equipment (as identified by the organization). The program shall be a closed-loop process that tracks maintenance efforts from request to completion to assessment of effectiveness. Equipment operators shall have the opportunity to report problems, and problems shall also be handled in a closed-loop manner. Company data, e.g., downtime, quality rejects, first time-through capability, recurring maintenance work orders, and operator-reported problems, shall be used to improve the preventive maintenance program. Maintenance data shall be collected and analyzed as part of a predictive maintenance program.	There is a formal Preventive Maintenance program in place. Program is based on both the time and machine usage. Maintenance schedule reviewed a minimum of once per year and updated appropriately based on analysis of maintenance data There is system in place to document and follow- up on operator concerns.		Meets specified requirements			
1.19	Has the plater developed a critical spare part list and are the parts available to minimize production disruptions?	The plater shall develop and maintain a critical spare parts list and shall ensure the availability of such parts to minimize production disruptions.	A key spare parts list has been set up for each facility. Each faculty has also been supplied with the Key Spare Parts list of the other facilities.		Meets specified requirements			



						Assessment				
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken		
		Section 2 - Floor and Ma	aterial Handling Responsibil	lity						
2.1	Does the facility ensure that the data entered in the receiving system matches the information on the customer's shipping documents?	Documented processes and evidence of compliance shall exist, e.g., shop travelers, work orders, etc. The facility shall have a detailed process in place to resolve receiving discrepancies.	There are documented procedures for receipt of customer product. All product is assigned a Serialized Shop Order that is specific to each container of material received. There are documented procedures in place to resolve receiving discrepancies.	t	Meets specified requirements					
2.2	Is product clearly identified and staged throughout the plating process?	Procedures for part and container identification help to avoid incorrect processing or mixing of lots. Appropriate location and staging within the facility also help to ensure that orders are not shipped until all required operations are performed. Customer product shall be clearly identified and staged throughout the plating process. Non-plated, inprocess, and finished product shall be properly segregated and identified. All material shall be staged in a dedicated and clearly defined area.	Parts are clearly identified with Shop order which identifies part number, Lot Number (if supplied by customer) and processing requirements. There are no dedicated formal staging areas for either raw or finished product. There are general areas but these areas shrink or expand based on material in house. Computer program (LIBRA) control customer part inventory along with part status. There are safeties built into the LIBRA system that will not allow material to be processed on the wrong line or raw material being shipped back to the customer without proper authorization (MRB)		Meets specified requirements					
2.3	Is lot traceability and integrity maintained throughout all processes?	Out-going lot(s) shall be traceable to the incoming lot(s). The discipline of precisely identifying lots and linking all pertinent information to them enhances the ability to do root cause analysis and continual improvement.	Lot Traceability is maintained through serialized Shop Orders. Each bin has a separate Shop Order which I generated by the LIBRA system. Shop Order is attached to each bin of material when it comes in. This Ship Order is scanned in and out of the line when the parts are processed. This allows for traceability of processing.		Meets specified requirements					
2.4	Are procedures adequate to prevent movement of non-conforming product into the production system?	The control of suspect or non-conforming product is necessary to prevent inadvertent shipment or contamination of other lots. Procedures shall be adequate to prevent movement of non-conforming product into the production system. Procedures shall exist addressing proper disposition, product identification and tracking of material flow in and out of hold area. Non-conforming hold area shall be clearly designated to maintain segregation of such material.	Nonconforming product is controlled. Nonconforming material can only be removed from Quarantine/Hold area with authorization of MRB.		Meets specified requirements					
2.5	Is there a system to identify trap points in the entire plating process to reduce risk of mixed parts (inappropriate, unfinished, or improperly plated parts)?	The plater shall have documented procedures to identify and monitor trap points for each process/equipment. Monitoring of potential trap points shall occur for every part changeover.	The barrels are all checked after each load to ensure that there are no parts trapped. There is a regular PM on the Spin Dryers which includes a check of the drum to ensure that there are no trap points.		Meets specified requirements					



						Assessment		
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
2.6	Are containers free of in- appropriate material?	Containers handling customer product shall be free of inappropriate material. After emptying and before re-using containers, containers shall be inspected to ensure that all parts and inappropriate material have been removed. The source of inappropriate material shall be identified and addressed. This is to ensure that no nonconforming plating parts or inappropriate material contaminate the finished lot.	Containers are inspected prior to placing parts back into them after processing.		Meets specified requirements			
2.7	Is part loading specified, documented and controlled?	Loading parameters shall be specified, documented and controlled. Examples include parts per rack and load size.	Barrel Loading is based on both operator experience and part history. Where there is a specific requirement for clearly defined load size due to process concerns the appropriate Load size is noted in the LIBRA system and when the part is scanned in for processing the computer will notify operator of appropriate load size		Meets specified requirements			
2.8	Are operators trained in material handling, containment action and product segregation in the event of an equipment emergency including power failure?	Unplanned or emergency downtime greatly raises the risk of improper processing. Operators shall be trained in material handling, containment action, and product segregation in the event of an equipment emergency including power failure. Training shall be documented. Work instructions specifically addressing potential types of equipment emergencies and failures shall be accessible to and understood by equipment operators. These instructions shall address containment/reaction plans related to all elements of the process. Evidence shall exist showing disposition and traceability of affected product.	All appropriate personnel have been trained emergency procedures. WI-0003 Details Action Plan for Unplanned or Emergency downtime.		Meets specified requirements			
2.9	Is the handling, storage and packaging adequate to preserve product quality?	The plater's loading/unloading systems, in process handling and shipping process shall be assessed for risk of part damage or other quality concerns.	Packaging is determined and agreed upon between customer and Acadian Group during Contract Review process		Meets specified requirements			
2.10	Are plant cleanliness, housekeeping and environmental and working conditions conducive to control and improved quality?	Plant cleanliness, housekeeping, environmental, and working conditions shall be conducive to controlling and improving quality. The plater should evaluate such conditions and their effect on quality. A housekeeping policy shall be clearly defined and executed. The facility shall be reviewed for the following items: loose parts on floor; spillage around tanks; overall plant lighting; fumes etc.	There is a formal housekeeping program in effect at all facilities including a monthly "Housekeeping Checklist" that is completed and forwarded to Senior Management by the Plant Manager. Housekeeping is also addressed on Start-up and Shut-down checklists.		Meets specified requirements			



						Assessment		
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Action Taken
2.11	Are process control parameters monitored per frequencies specified in Process Tables?	Process control parameters shall be monitored per frequencies specified in Process Tables. Computer monitoring equipment with alarms and alarm logs satisfy the verification requirement. A designated floor person shall verify the process parameters, e.g., by initialing a strip chart or data log.	Process Parameters are not all monitored at frequencies noted in process tables. See appropriate Process Table		Meets specified requirements			
2.12	Are out of control/specification parameters reviewed and reacted to?	Are there documented reaction plans to both out of control and out of tolerance process parameters? Is there documented evidence that reaction plans are followed?	Out of control situations are documented and appropriate steps are taken. Documented Reaction Plans in place for out of control situations.		Meets specified requirements			
2.13	Are In-Process / Final Test Frequencies performed as specified in Process Tables?	In-Process / Final Test Frequencies shall be performed as specified in Process Tables. Refer to Process Tables.	All testing is being done at intervals noted on the PCP		Meets specified requirements			
2.14	Is product test equipment verified?	Test equipment shall be verified/calibrated per applicable customer specific standard or per an applicable consensus standard, e.g., ASTM, SAE, ISO, NIST, etc. Verification/calibration results shall be internally reviewed, approved and documented.  Refer to Process Tables for frequency of checks.	All test equipment is calibrated at specified intervals. Where applicable all calibrations re done using NIST traceable standards.		Meets specified requirements			

		Section 3 - Zinc	/ Zinc Alloy Plating Eq	uipn	nent		
						Assessment	
Question Number	Question	Requirements and Guidance	Objective Evidence	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action
3.1	Does plating line have proper process control equipment?	Refer to Process Table F for equipment requirements.	See Process Table F				
3.2	Are process and testing equipment calibrations and/or verification certified, posted, and current?	A system shall be used by the plating facility to track calibration dates of equipment. This system will typically be a computerized tracking system or other notification system. Test equipment shall be verified/calibrated per applicable customer specific standard or consensus standard, e.g., ASTM, SAE, ISO, NIST, etc. Verification/calibration results shall be internally reviewed, approved and documented.	All calibration and verifications are up to date. Reviewed Calibration database as well as on line thickness tester verification logs.		Meet Specified Requirements		
3.3	Are barrels, racks, and baskets maintained?	Supplier shall have preventative maintenance system that is documented and implemented.	Ongoing PM on barrels in place		Meet Specified Requirements		
3.4	Are rectifiers maintained?	Supplier shall have preventative maintenance system that is documented and implemented.	There is a formal PM system in place for rectifiers. Rectifiers are inspected and cleaned once per year.		Meet Specified Requirements		
3.5	For hydrogen embrittlement relief ovens, are temperature uniformity surveys performed yearly?	Uniformity survey must show that ovens were tested both empty and with a dense load. Parts must come up to temperature within one hour of entering oven and meet temperature tolerance specified by customer.	Temperature profiles set up in calibration database to ensure that they are completed on a regular basis. Profile shows that material comes up to minimum temperature within one hour of commencement of bake		Meet Specified Requirements		
3.6	For hydrogen embrittlement relief ovens, are thermocouples checked and/or replaced quarterly?	Supplier shall have preventative maintenance system that is documented and implemented.	Thermocouples are verified a minimum of once per quarter using a NIST traceable high temperature thermometer. Records of calibration verification are found in calibration database.		Meet Specified Requirements		

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520 Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.1	Are contract review, advance quality planning, FMEA, control plans, etc., performed by qualified individuals?	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.17		APQP is done during the Quotation process. During this process the finish requirement, processing specification, and part configuration are evaluated to confirm that we have the capability of producing the part. This evaluation includes the determination as to whether specific tooling is required to produce the part. Should the process not be able to meet the specified requirements either a Deviation Note is add to the quotation detailing the required deviation or the customer is informed that we are unable to meet the specified requirements		Pass

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520
Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.2	Does the plater have the proper customer specifications for the part?	1.5	Specification Multimatic MHGEBZ035U Specification Date:08/31/99 Specification on 364 day review to ensure latest specification on hand	All specification are electronically controlled in the System 9000 Specification Database. General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, DIN, ASTM, SAE USCAR, Delphi Volvo specifications are updated and are on automatic review using Lotus Notes System.  Honda, Nissan, Toyota and Mazda can only be updated when customer supplies updated specification. There are no web sites for these specifications and these companies will only issue their specifications to their suppliers.  All Quotations submitted to customers clearly identify the Issue date of the specification the parts are being quoted to.	Final Product Audit performed by Jim Aide 0n 11/21/11	Pass
5.3	Is a shop traveler created to meet customer requirements?	1.6 2.1	Shop Order created for each bin of parts received. Shop Order is based on date received and the number of bins received that date. If supplied on Packing Slip Shop Order includes Customer Lot Number			Pass

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520
Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.4	Is material identification (part numbers, lot numbers, contract numbers, etc.) maintained throughout the plating process?	2.2 2.3 2.4	Shop Order created for each bin of parts received. Shop Order is based on date received and the number of bins received that date. If supplied on Packing Slip Shop Order includes Customer Lot Number	Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with parts.	Pass
5.5	Is there documented evidence of Receiving Inspection?	2.1	Attach Shop Order to Bin of parts to show that parts have passed Incoming Inspection requirements.	Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with parts.	Pass
5.6	Are the Loading / Racking requirements identified?	1.6 2.7 2.9	Where required load sizes and appropriate data is entered on Libra System.	Libra System	Libra System	Pass

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520
Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.7	Is the proper procedure or process specification used? Refer to Process Tables for specific parameters. List parameters that were verified in this audit in the spaces provided below.	1.5 1.6 2.1 2.11 2.13	Toyota Specification TSH6524G-BC BARREL	Processing Code noted on Shop Order corresponds to specification requirement.	Quotation reviewed and part has been quoted to specification on file. Specification date part quoted to is shown on Quote. reviewed and found to be latest issue. (08/31/99)	Pass
	Solution Concentrations			Solution Analysis Log	All solution concentrations performed as noted on PCP Acid concentration which is checked once per day instead of once per shift as per AIAG CQI- 11. Zinc Metal in Acid Zinc checked once per week, AIAG CQI- 11 states once per day.	

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229
Part Number: S3170520

Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
	In-process inspection requirements			In process Inspection Log	All inprocess inspections were performed in accordance with PCP and AIAG CQI-11 requirements	Pass
5.8	What are the product inspection requirements?	2.13		r more requirements determined by the requirement. List each requirement below		
5.8.1	Requirement: Plate Thickness					
	Test Method:	Eddy Current				
	Test frequency or quantity:	One part/barrel		-		
	Selection of samples:	Sample of bin of parts selected for Final Product Audit				
	Specification:		TSH6524G-BC	BARREL		

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520
Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
	Test results	Two parts checked Average of 0.00052, 0.00046 (Minimum requirement 0.00032)				Pass
5.8.2	Requirement: Corrosion Resistance	,				
	Test Method:	ASTM B117				
	Test frequency or quantity:	One Repres	sentative part per week.			
	Selection of samples:	selected at time	of audit			
	Specification:	Multimatic MHGE	BZ035U	72 Hours to red rust	No evidence of red rust after 72 hour exposure.	Pass
5.8.3	Requirement: Hydrogen Embrittlement Relief (if Applicable)	N/A Part did not require bake for Hydrogen embrittlement relief.				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:		_			
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520 Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.8.4	Requirement: Adhesion Test					
	Test frequency or quantity:	Representative parts are tested for adhesion minimum once per week per				
	Selection of samples:	plating type.  Sample of bin of parts selected for Final Product  Audit				
	Specification:		Multimatic MHG	EBZ035U		
	Results	No loss of adhesion.			Adhesion. After exposing coated parts to 220 ± 5°C for 30 ± 5 minutes and quench in water at room temperature the coating showed good adhesion to base material. There was evidence of blistering or flaking.	

Job Identity:

**Customer:** Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229
Part Number: S3170520

Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.8.5	Requirement: Alloy (if Applicable)	N/A				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
	Test results					Pass
5.8.6	Requirement: Torque Tension (if Applicable)	N/A				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.7	Requirement: Appearance (Decorative)	N/A				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.8	Requirement: S.T.E.P.	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:		<u> </u>	-		
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229
Part Number: S3170520

Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.8.9	Requirement: Pore Count/Size	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.10	Requirement: Ductility by foil	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.11	Requirement: Internal Stress	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.12	Requirement: Thermal Cycle	N/A				
	(Decorative Plastic)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229 Part Number: S3170520

Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
			Operator or Inspector Resp	onsibilities		
5.9	Were appropriate process steps signed off?	1.4 2.2 2.3 2.11	Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.	All process check lists were signed off. A review of previous checklists shows that they have been reviewed by the appropriate personnel.	All process check lists were signed off. A review of previous checklists shows that they have been reviewed by the appropriate personnel.	
5.10	Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan performed?	1.2 1.4	All steps detailed on Process Control plan had been completed.	Production Logs detail thickness. Inprocess logs detail in-process checks and validations. Solution analysis logs detail analysis results.	Production Logs	Pass
5.11	Were steps/operations performed that were not documented in the control plan?	1.2 1.4 1.6	N/A		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Job Identity:

Customer: Anton Manufacturing

Shop Order Number: 111118229

Part Number: S3170520
Part Description: Hinge

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.12	If additional steps were performed, were they authorized?	1.2 1.4 1.6 1.11 1.17	N/A			
5.13	If the order was certified, did the certification accurately reflect the process performed?	2.11 2.13	N/A			
5.14	Was the certification signed by an authorized individual?	1.17	N/A			
5.15	Are the parts and containers free of foreign objects or contamination?	2.6	View of container showed no contamination or foreign objects		View of container showed no contamination or foreign objects	Pass
	Packaging Requirements					
5.16	Are packaging requirements identified?	2.9	Parts decanted	Pack requirement noted on Shop Order	Parts decanted	Pass
5.17	Are parts packaged to minimize mixed parts (parts packed over height of container)?	2.9	Parts decanted	Parts decanted	Parts were not packed over top of tote	Pass
	Shipping Requirements					
5.18	Were the parts properly identified?	2.3	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass
5.19	Were the containers properly labeled?	2.3 2.9	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass

# Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line plus Postreat

Job Identity:

Customer: Theta

Shop Order Number: 111117163

Part Number: 10WMG002-01

Part Description: Upper Lock Ratchet

Material: Steel

Plating Requirements: ASTM B633-FE/ZN5 TRI Yellow

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement
5.1	Are contract review, advance	1.2	
	quality planning, FMEA, control	1.3	
	plans, etc., performed by qualified	1.4	
	individuals?	1.17	

5.2	Does the plater have the proper customer specifications for the part?	1.5	Specification ASTM B633-FE/ZN5 TRI Yellow Specification Date: 10/01/11 Specification on 90 day review to ensure latest specification on hand
5.3	Is a shop traveler created to meet customer requirements?	1.6 2.1	Shop Order created for each bin of parts received. Shop Order is based on date received and the number of bins received that date. If supplied on Packing Slip Shop Order includes Customer Lot Number
5.4	Is material identification (part numbers, lot numbers, contract numbers, etc.) maintained throughout the plating process?	2.2 2.3 2.4	Shop Order created for each bin of parts received. Shop Order is based on date received and the number of bins received that date. If supplied on Packing Slip Shop Order includes Customer Lot Number
5.5	Is there documented evidence of Receiving Inspection?	2.1	Attach Shop Order to Bin of parts to show that parts have passed Incoming Inspection requirements.
5.6	Are the Loading / Racking requirements identified?	1.6 2.7 2.9	Where required load sizes and appropriate data is entered on Libra System.
5.7	Is the proper procedure or process specification used? Refer to Process Tables for specific parameters. List parameters that were verified in this audit in the spaces provided below.	1.5 1.6 2.1 2.11 2.13	Chrysler Specification PS- 79 Code 50

	Colution Consentrations	Ι	<del></del> 1
	Solution Concentrations		
	In-process inspection		
	requirements		
F 0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.40	Foot new months on
5.8	What are the product inspection	2.13	Each part may have or
	requirements?		specification. Parts must me
5.8.1	Requirement: Plate Thickness		
	Test Method:	Eddy Current	
	Test frequency or quantity:	Twp Parts	
	Selection of samples:	Sample of bin of	
		parts selected for	
		Final Product	
		Audit	
	Specification:		ASTM B633-FE/ZN5 TF
	Test results	Two parts	
	. 551 1004110	checked	
		Average of	
		0.000399,	
		0.00042	
		(Minimum	
		requirement	
		0.00020)	
5.8.2	Requirement: Corrosion		
	Resistance		
	Test Method:	ASTM B117	
	Test frequency or quantity:	One Repres	sentative part per week.
	Selection of samples:	Samples	·
	·	selected at time	
	·	selected at time of audit	

	1		
	Specification:	ASTM B633-	
		FE/ZN5 TRI	
		Yellow	
5.8.3	Requirement: Hydrogen	N/A Part did not	
	Embrittlement Relief (if	require bake for	
	Applicable)	Hydrogen	
		embrittlement	
		relief.	
	Test Method:		
	Test frequency or quantity:		
	Selection of samples:		
	Specification:		
5.8.4	Requirement: Adhesion Test		
	Test frequency or quantity:	Representative	
		parts are tested	
		for adhesion	
		minimum once	
		per week per	
		plating type.	
	Selection of samples:	Sample of bin of	
		parts selected for	
		Final Product	
		Audit	
	Specification:	ridan	ASTM B633-FE/ZN5 TI
	Results	No loss of	
		adhesion.	
		0.0	
5.8.5	Requirement: Alloy (if Applicable)	N/A	
0.0.0	rtequilement: / mey (ii / tppileable)	14/7	
	Test Method:		
	Test frequency or quantity:		
	Selection of samples:		
	Specification:		
	Test results		
5.8.6	Requirement: Torque Tension (if	N/A	
	Applicable)		
	Test Method:		
	Test frequency or quantity:		
	Selection of samples:		
	Selection of samples: Specification:		
5.8.7	Specification:	N/A	
5.8.7	Specification: Requirement: Appearance	N/A	
5.8.7	Specification:	N/A	
5.8.7	Specification: Requirement: Appearance (Decorative)	N/A	

Specification:  5.8.8 Requirement: S.T.E.P. (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.9 Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  All steps detailed on Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan performed?		Selection of samples:		
Cecorative		Specification:		
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.9 Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Respon: Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.	5.8.8		N/A	
Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.9 Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsible of Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan and documented in the control plan had				
Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.9 Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 All Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off? 2.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		Test frequency or quantity:		
Specification:  5.8.9 Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative)  Test Method:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductlifty by foil (Decorative) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsible of Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan and Process Control plan had				
S.8.9   Requirement: Pore Count/Size (Decorative)				
CDecorative   Test Method:   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   N/A   (Decorative)   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Specification:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Specifi	589		N/A	
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off? 2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.4 Process Control plan had	0.0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14/74	
Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsinger Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  2.2 Process Control and Inspection Logs.				
Specification:  5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsing Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  Test Method:  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
5.8.10 Requirement: Ductility by foil (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.4 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		-		
Cecorative   Test Method:   Test Method:   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Specification:   Selection of samples:   N/A (Decorative)   Test Method:   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Specification:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Test Method:   Test Method:   Test Method:   Test frequency or quantity:   Selection of samples:   Specification:   Specificatio	F 0 10		NI/A	
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test Method: Test Method: N/A  Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification: Selection of samples: Specificat	5.6.10		IN/A	
Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off? 2.2 Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion of Signed off?  2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  Specification:  All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Specification:  5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative)  Test Method:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off? 2.2 Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.4 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
5.8.11 Requirement: Internal Stress (Decorative)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  2.11 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		-		
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion of Signed off?  2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsions Inspection Logs.				
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion of Signed off?  1.4 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs. 2.3 Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsions 1.4 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.	5.8.11		N/A	
Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Specification:  N/A (Decorative Plastic) Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off? 2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsional Inspection Logs.  1.4 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		,		
Selection of samples: Specification:  Specification:  N/A  (Decorative Plastic)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.9  Were appropriate process, as documented in the control plan  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion Inspection Inspection Logs.  All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Specification:  5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic)  Test Method:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion of Signed off?  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  2.11  Selection of Samples:  All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		Test frequency or quantity:		
5.8.12 Requirement: Thermal Cycle (Decorative Plastic)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Test Method: Test Metho				
(Decorative Plastic)  Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsions  Signed off?  1.4 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  2.11  Solution:  All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Test Method: Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responses    Signed off?  1.4	5.8.12		N/A	
Test frequency or quantity: Selection of samples: Specification:   Operator or Inspector Responsion:  Substituting the selection of samples: Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responsion:  Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  2.2 Inspection Logs.  Substituting the selection of samples: Substituting				
Selection of samples:  Specification:  Operator or Inspector Responses  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  2.2 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  2.11  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
Specification:    Specification:   Operator or Inspector Response				
5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  1.4 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  2.11 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
5.9 Were appropriate process steps signed off?  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.4 Internal requirements for Process Control and Inspection Logs.  1.4 Process Control and Inspection Logs.  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had		Specification:		
signed off?  2.2 Process Control and Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan  1.2 All steps detailed on Process Control plan had				
2.3 Inspection Logs.  5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had	5.9	Were appropriate process steps	1.4	•
5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had		signed off?	2.2	Process Control and
5.10 Were all inspection steps, as documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had			2.3	Inspection Logs.
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had			2.11	
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had				
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had				
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had				
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had				
documented in the control plan 1.4 Process Control plan had	5.10	Were all inspection steps, as	1.2	All steps detailed on
penemies.				
		periorinea.		boon completed.
1 1				
5.11 Were steps/operations performed 1.2 N/A	E 11	Ware stops/operations performed	1 2	NI/A
that were not documented in the 1.4	5.11			IN/A
control plan? 1.6		control plan?	۵.۱	

F 40	If a delitional atoms were	4.0	NI/A
5.12	If additional steps were	1.2	N/A
	performed, were they authorized?	1.4	
		1.6	
		1.11	
		1.17	
5.13	If the order was certified, did the	2.11	N/A
	certification accurately reflect the	2.13	
	process performed?		
5.14	Was the certification signed by an	1.17	N/A
	authorized individual?		
5.15	Are the parts and containers free	2.6	View of container showed
	of foreign objects or		no contamination or foreign
	contamination?		objects
	oontammation:		Objects
	Packaging Requirements		
5.16	Are packaging requirements	2.9	Bulk Pack as per Contract
	identified?		·
5.17	Are parts packaged to minimize	2.9	Pack parts back into bin
	mixed parts (parts packed over		received.
	height of container)?		
	Shipping Requirements		
5.18	Were the parts properly	2.3	Parts were identified with
	identified?		shop order and customer
			tags
5.19	Were the containers properly	2.3	Parts were identified with
0.10	labeled?	2.9	shop order and customer
	iabeleu:	۷.5	
			tags

All specification are electronically controlled in the System 9000 Specification Database. General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, DIN, ASTM, SAE USCAR, Delphi Volvo specifications are updated and are on automatic review using Lotus Notes System. Honda, Nissan, Toyota and Mazda can only be updated when customer supplies updated specification. There are no web sites for these specifications and these companies will only issue their specifications to their suppliers. All Quotations submitted to customers clearly identify the Issue date of the specification the parts are being quoted to.	Review of Specification database. Final Product Audit performed by Jim Aide on 11/21/11	Pass
		Pass
Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with parts.	Pass
Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with parts.	Pass
Libra System	Libra System	Pass
Processing Code noted on Shop Order corresponds to specification requirement.	Specification reviewed and found to be latest issue. (09/28/10)	Pass

Calutian Analusia Las	مرابيا الم	Door
Solution Analysis Log	All solution	Pass
	concentrations	
	performed as noted	
	on PCP Acid	
	concentration which is	
	checked once per day	
	instead of once per	
	shift as per AIAG CQI-	
	11. Zinc Metal in Acid	
	Zinc checked once	
	per week, AIAG CQI-	
	11 states once per	
	day.	
In process Inspection Log	All inprocess	Pass
	inspections were	
	performed in	
	accordance with PCP	
	and AIAG CQI-11	
	requirements	
ne or more requirements determin	ed by the plating	
ne or more requirements determing et each requirement. List each re		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r		
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass
et each requirement. List each r validate.		Pass

24 hours to white corrosion	No evidence of White	Pass
96 Hours to red rust	corrosion after 24 hours.	
	No evidence of red rust after 96 hour	
	exposure.	
RI Yellow		
	Adhesion. After exposing coated parts	Pass
	to 220 ± 5°C for 30 ±	
	5 minutes and quench in water at room	
	temperature the	
	coating showed good adhesion to base	
	material. There was	
	evidence of blistering or flaking.	
		Doos
		Pass

ibilities		
All process check lists were	All process shock lists	Pass
	All process check lists	газэ
signed off. A review of previous	were signed off. A	
checklists shows that they have	review of previous	
been reviewed by the	checklists shows that	
appropriate personnel.	they have been	
appropriate personner.	reviewed by the	
	•	
	appropriate	
	personnel.	
Production (Libra System) Logs	Production (Libra	Pass
detail thickness. Inprocess logs	System) Logs detail	
detail in-process checks and	thickness. Inprocess	
•	•	
validations. Solution analysis	logs detail in-process	
logs detail analysis results.	checks and	
	validations. Solution	
	analysis logs detail	
	ו מוומועסוס וטעס טבומיי	
1		
	analysis results.	

	View of container showed no contamination or foreign objects	Pass
Pack requirement noted on Shop Order	Parts bulk packed	Pass
Pack parts back into bin received.	Parts were not packed over top of bin	Pass
Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass
Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass



#### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Plating Requirements: GMW30448K240/120X

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.1	Are contract review, advance quality planning, FMEA, control plans, etc., performed by qualified individuals?	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.17	requirement	APQP is done during the Quotation process. During this process the finish requirement, processing specification, and part configuration are evaluated to confirm that we have the capability of producing the part. This evaluation includes the determination as to whether specific tooling is required to produce the part. Should the process not be able to meet the specified requirements either a Deviation Note is add to the quotation detailing the required deviation or the customer is informed that we are unable to meet the specified requirements	Contact Review Files Quote No.: 044017B	Pass



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question	Job Audit Question	Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference	Actual Condition	Pass / Fail
#	Job Addit Qdestion	PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
5.2	Does the plater have the proper customer specifications for the part?	1.5	Specification PS-79 Code 50 Specification Date: 09/28/10 Specification on 90 day review to ensure latest specification on hand	All specification are electronically controlled in the System 9000 Specification Database.  General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, DIN, ASTM, SAE USCAR, Delphi Volvo specifications are updated and are on automatic review using Lotus Notes System.  Honda, Nissan, Toyota and Mazda can only be updated when customer supplies updated specification. There are no web sites for these specifications and these companies will only issue their specifications to their suppliers.  All Quotations submitted to customers clearly identify the Issue date of the specification the parts are being quoted to.	Review of Specification database. Final Product Audit performed by Jim Aide on 11/02/10	Pass



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question	Job Audit Question	Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference	Actual Condition	Pass / Fail
#	Job Addit Question	PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
5.3	Is a shop traveler created to meet		Shop Order created for			Pass
	customer requirements?	2.1	each bin of parts received.			
			Shop Order is based on			
			date received and the			
			number of bins received			
			that date. If supplied on			
			Packing Slip Shop Order			
			includes Customer Lot			
			Number			
5.4	Is material identification (part	2.2	Shop Order created for	Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with	Pass
	numbers, lot numbers, contract	2.3	each bin of parts received.		parts.	
	numbers, etc.) maintained	2.4	Shop Order is based on			
	throughout the plating process?		date received and the			
			number of bins received			
			that date. If supplied on			
			Packing Slip Shop Order			
			includes Customer Lot			
			Number			
5.5	Is there documented evidence of	2.1	Attach Shop Order to Bin of	Shop Order with parts.	Shop Order with	Pass
	Receiving Inspection?		parts to show that parts		parts.	
			have passed Incoming			
			Inspection requirements.			
5.6	Are the Loading / Racking	1.6	Where required load sizes	Libra System	Libra System	Pass
	requirements identified?	2.7	and appropriate data is			
		2.9	entered on Libra System.			
	<u> </u>					



#### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.7	Is the proper procedure or	1.5	General Motors	Processing Code noted on Shop		Pass
	process specification used?	1.6	GMW30448K240/120X	Order corresponds to	reviewed and found to	
	Refer to Process Tables for	2.1		specification requirement.	be latest issue.	
	specific parameters. List	2.11			F - 04/00/05	
	parameters that were verified in	2.13				
	this audit in the spaces provided					
	below.					
	Solution Concentrations			Solution Analysis Log	All solution	Pass
					concentrations	
					performed as noted	
					on PCP Acid	
					concentration which is	
					checked once per day	
					instead of once per	
					shift as per AIAG CQI-	-
					11. Zinc Metal in Acid	
					Zinc checked once	
					per week, AIAG CQI-	
					11 states once per	
					day.	

### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Reguirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A		
"	In-process inspection requirements		rvequirement	In process Inspection Log	All inprocess inspections were performed in accordance with PCP and AIAG CQI-11 requirements	Pass		
5.8	What are the product inspection requirements?	2.13	Each part may have one or more requirements determined by the plating specification. Parts must meet each requirement. List each requirement below and validate.					



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield
Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD
Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

		D 1 4 1			A	In / = ::
Question	Job Audit Question	Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference		Pass / Fail
#		PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
5.8.1	Requirement: Plate Thickness					
	Test Method:	Eddy Current				
	Test frequency or quantity:	Two parts				
	Selection of samples:	Samples of bin of				
		parts selected for				
		Final Product				
		Audit				
	Specification:		GMW30448K240/	120X		
	Test results	Two parts				Pass
		checked Average				
		of 0.000399,				
		0.00042				
		(Minimum				
		requirement				
		0.00020)				
		0.00020)				
5.8.2	Requirement: Corrosion					
	Resistance					
	Test Method:	ASTM B117				
	Test frequency or quantity:	One Repres	entative part per week.			
	Selection of samples:	Sample selected				
	о от от от от от расси	at time of audit				
	Specification:	GMW30448K240		120 hours to white corrosion	No evidence of White	Pass
	<b>G</b> F-0004	/120X		240 Hours to red rust	corrosion after 120	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2 10 1 10010 10 100 1001	hours.	
					No evidence of red	
					rust after 240 hour	
				1	exposure.	

### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.8.3	Requirement: Hydrogen	N/A Part did not				
	Embrittlement Relief (if	require bake for				
	Applicable)	Hydrogen				
		embrittlement				
		relief.				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.4	Requirement: Adhesion Test					
	Test frequency or quantity:	Representative				
		parts are tested				
		for adhesion				
		minimum once				
		per week per				
		plating type.				
	Selection of samples:	Sample of bin of				
	·	parts selected for				
		Final Product				1
		Audit				
	Specification:		GMW30448K240/	120X		



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question	Job Audit Question	Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference	Actual Condition	Pass / Fail
#	Job Addit Question	PSA Question # Requirement		Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
	Results	No loss of			Adhesion. After	Pass
		adhesion.			exposing coated parts	
					to 220 ± 5°C for 30 ±	
					5 minutes and quench	
					in water at room	
					temperature the	
					coating showed good	
					adhesion to base	
					material. There was	
					evidence of blistering	
					or flaking.	



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield
Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD
Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question		Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference	Actual Condition	Pass / Fail
#	Job Audit Question	PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
5.8.5	Requirement: Alloy (if Applicable)	N/A	·			
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
	Test results					Pass
5.8.6	Requirement: Torque Tension (if	N/A				
	Applicable) Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:	21/2				
5.8.7	Requirement: Appearance (Decorative)	N/A				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.8	Requirement: S.T.E.P. (Decorative)	N/A				
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					

#### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question		Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference	Actual Condition	Pass / Fail
#	Job Audit Question	PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
5.8.9	Requirement: Pore Count/Size	N/A	•			
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.10	Requirement: Ductility by foil	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.11	Requirement: Internal Stress	N/A				
	(Decorative)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					
5.8.12	Requirement: Thermal Cycle	N/A				
	(Decorative Plastic)					
	Test Method:					
	Test frequency or quantity:					
	Selection of samples:					
	Specification:					



#### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD
Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Matarial Ottal

Material: Steel

0 "		5.1.1			4	D / E ::
Question	Job Audit Question	Related	Customer or Internal	Job (Shop) Order or Reference		Pass / Fail
#		PSA Question #	Requirement	Documentation Requirement	(Objective Evidence)	/ N/A
		Оре	rator or Inspector Respons	ibilities		
5.9	Were appropriate process steps	1.4	Internal requirements for	All process check lists were	All process check lists	Pass
	signed off?	2.2	Process Control and	signed off. A review of previous	were signed off. A	
		2.3	Inspection Logs.	checklists shows that they have	review of previous	
		2.11		been reviewed by the	checklists shows that	
				appropriate personnel.	they have been	
					reviewed by the	
					appropriate	
					personnel.	
5.10	Were all inspection steps, as	1.2	All steps detailed on	Production (Libra System) Logs	Production (Libra	Pass
	documented in the control plan	1.4	Process Control plan had	detail thickness. Inprocess logs	System) Logs detail	
	performed?		been completed.	detail in-process checks and	thickness. Inprocess	
	-		•	validations. Solution analysis	logs detail in-process	
				logs detail analysis results.	checks and	
				,	validations. Solution	
					analysis logs detail	
					analysis results.	
5.11	Were steps/operations performed	1.2	N/A		•	
	that were not documented in the	1.4				
	control plan?	1.6				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
5.12	If additional steps were	1.2	N/A			
3.12	T	1.4	IN/A			
	performed, were they authorized?					
		1.6				
		1.11				
		1.17				



### Section 5 - Job Audit - Finished Product Review - Lake Line

Job Identity:

Customer: Alfield

Shop Order Number: 111118002

Part Number: FM-BRO83000AD

Part Description: Deck lid Bracket

Material: Steel

Question #	Job Audit Question	Related PSA Question #	Customer or Internal Requirement	Job (Shop) Order or Reference Documentation Requirement	Actual Condition (Objective Evidence)	Pass / Fail / N/A
5.13	If the order was certified, did the certification accurately reflect the process performed?	2.11 2.13	N/A			
5.14	Was the certification signed by an authorized individual?	1.17	N/A			
5.15	Are the parts and containers free of foreign objects or contamination?	2.6	View of container showed no contamination or foreign objects		View of container showed no contamination or foreign objects	Pass
	Packaging Requirements					
5.16	Are packaging requirements identified?	2.9	Bulk Pack as per Contract	Pack requirement noted on Shop Order	Parts bulk packed	Pass
5.17	Are parts packaged to minimize mixed parts (parts packed over height of container)?	2.9	Pack parts back into bin received.	Pack parts back into bin received.	Parts were not packed over top of bin	Pass
	Shipping Requirements					
5.18	Were the parts properly identified?	2.3	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass
5.19	Were the containers properly labeled?	2.3 2.9	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Parts were identified with shop order and customer tags	Pass

### PROCESS TABLE A - Zinc - Zinc Alloy Plating - Enterprise Line

						Assessment				
e custo	mer may have a	ow are subordinate to customer specific dditional requirements, e.g., inspection to he auditor shall verify plater is conformin	esting, greater frequenc		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
TEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
1.0		Metal Cleaning								
		Type: Alkaline Soak Cleaner and Electro								
		Cleaners								
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name: Atotech Canada								
A1.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic	1/Shift						
All	1.4, 2.11, 2.13	Temperature	Automatic	1/31111	Temperature of cleaners verified every four hours from computer readout from temperature probes. Calibration of temperature probes verified once per month.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Day	Solution analysis performed once per day. Results documented and retained on file Out of range situations are reviewed and the appropriate reaction plan implemented.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet	Time is fixed on all lines.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.4		Agitation	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet	Rotation of barrel provides agitation.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.5	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Current/Voltage (As applicable)	Automatic	1/Shift	Current is constant		Amperage is monitored.			
A1.6		Solution Level	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Solution Level is verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.7		Rinse	Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
2.0		Acid								
		Type:			Muriatic (Hydrochloric) Acid					
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:			Muriatic (Hydrochloric) Acid		-			
A2.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Chemical supplier: Temperature - Optional	Automatic	1/Shift	UBA N/A					
A2.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Acid concentration is only checked once per day not once per shift.		Acid checked once per day. Review of past six months records shows no readings			
A2.3	1 4. 2 11. 2 12	Time (Less than 10 Minutes or Customer	Automatic	Continuous			outside normal operating range.  Meet specified			
	1.7, 2.11, 2.13	Specific)			Fixed Machine cycle time		requirements			
A2.4		Inhibitor	Per Tech Data Sheet	N/A	None Used					
A2.5		Solution Level	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Solution Level is verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
A2.6		Rinse	Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
3.0		Acid Plating Bath								
		Type:								
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:					<b></b>			
		Chemical supplier: Atotech Canada	]	J	1		1	]	]	<u> </u>

	144000	I= .	Ta a c	1/6: ::	1				
A3.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Temperature	Automatic	1/Shift	Temperature verified every four	l l			ĺ
					hours from computer readout from		Meet specified		
			İ		temperature probes. Calibration of			1	ĺ
					temperature probes verified once		requirements		
					per month.				
A3.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time	Automatic	1/Shift	per montri.		Meet specified		
A3.2	1.4, 2.11, 2.13	Time	Automatic	1/5/1111			requirements		
A3.3	1 4, 2 11, 2 12	Current/Voltage	Automatic	1/Shift	Voltage is monitored and		requirements		
A3.3	1.4, 2.11, 2.13	Current/Voltage	Automatic	1/5/1111			Meet specified		
					recorded. Amperage is not		requirements		
			·		monitored.				
A3.4		Chloride Concentration	Manual	1/Day	Solution analysis performed once				
					per day. Results documented and				
					retained on file Out of range		Meet specified		
					situations are reviewed and the		requirements		
					appropriate reaction plan				
					implemented.				
A3.5		pH	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift			Meet specified		
		•			pH is checked once per shift		requirements		
A3.6		Plating Test Cell (Hull)	Manual	1/Day		1,			
			İ				Contacted Stuart		ĺ
			1			l l	Dodds of		
			İ				Atotech and		ĺ
							Abbas from		
							Enthone Inc As		
			İ				per letters Hull		ĺ
			İ				cell testing once		ĺ
					Hull Cell testing done minimum of	l l	per week is		
					once week on Zinc Plating		sufficient.		
			İ		solutions		Enthone and		ĺ
			İ		Solutions				ĺ
							Atotech		
						l l	performing Hull		
							Cell tests on all		
			İ				Zinc solutions		ĺ
							supplied by them		
							a minimum of		
						l l	once per week.		
	1	11.10			-	ļ	•		
A3.7		Metal Concentration(s)	Automatic or Manual	1/Day			Metal	1	ĺ
			1			l l	concentration		
							done on zinc		Reviewed analysis result for
			İ				baths once per	1	twelve month period. There is no
			1		Zinc Analysis performed once per		week. The zinc		
					week		values do not		significant changes in zinc
1			İ				fluctuate and	1	concentration that would not be
							once a week		found by weeklyanalysis.
			İ					1	ĺ
						l l	analysis is		
A3.8	1	Buffer (Ammonia / Boric Acid per TDS)	Manual	1/Day	+	N/A	sufficient. N/A		
A3.8 A3.9	1	Filtration	Continuous	1/Shift	+		Check of filters		
A3.9		FilliauUII	Continuous	I/SNIIT					ĺ
1			İ				done an a daily	1	ĺ
10.45	ļ			1/01:7	1	21/2	basis.	ļ	ļ
A3.10		Agitation (Rack only - others optional)	Continuous	1/Shift		N/A	N/A		
A3.11		Rinse	Per Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a		Meet specified		ĺ
					minimum of once per shift.		requirements		
4.0		Alkaline Plating Bath							
		Type:	1						
		Size, volume:	1						
		Proprietary name:							
		Chemical supplier:							
A4.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Temperature							
A4.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13								
A4.3		Current/Voltage				i i			
A4.4	,,	Caustic Concentration							i
A4.5	1	Plating Test Cell (Hull)	†	1	<u> </u>			1	1
A4.6		Metal Concentration(s)	+			<b></b>			1
A4.0 A4.7	1	Filtration	+	<b> </b>	1	<del>                                     </del>		l	<del> </del>
A4.7 A4.8	1	Rinse	+		+	<b>-</b>		<b> </b>	1
5.0								-	1
50		Hydrogen Embrittlement Relief			Forced Air Convection			ļ	<b> </b>
5.0	3.6	Oven Type:							

	0.0	lo = .	T		T				T
	3.6	Oven Temperature:		ĺ	Set as per specified requirement.		1	1	
					Normal operating set point 400 F				
6.0		Passivates							
		Type:	As per process Control	Plan					
		Size, volume:							
		Proprietary name:	As per process Control	Plan					
		Chemical supplier:	As per process Control	Plan					
A6.1		Acid Activation	Per Control Plan						
A6.1.1		- Concentration	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Analysis once per shift				
A6.1.2	1.4: 2.11: 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Automatic				
A6.2		Passivate	Per Control Plan	N/A					
A6.2.1		- Concentration	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Analysis once per shift				
A6.2.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift			Meet specified		
	,,				Verified twice per shift		requirements		
A6.2.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	- Time	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Fixed Machine cycle time		roquiromonio		
A6.2.4	,,	- pH	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift			Meet specified		
		F			Checked once per shift		requirements		
A6.2.5		- Agitation	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift			Meet specified		
		1.9			Verified once per shift		requirements		
A6.2.6		- Contamination (e.g. Fe, Zn)	Per Process Sheet	1/week	Iron checked daily in house and				
		(,,		.,	Zinc checked once per month by		Meet specified		
					Supplier		requirements		
A6.3		Rinse	Per Process Sheet	1/Shift			Meet specified		
710.0		111100		1,01	Verified once per shift		requirements		
A6.4		Dry	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet			Meet specified		
		5.,	or room batta omoot	T OF T TOOGGO OFFICER	Verified once per shift		requirements		
		Supplemental Treatments - Sealers and					roquironionio		
7.0		Torque Tension Modifiers							
				Per Control Plan and	Verified once per shift		Meet specified		
A7.1		Concentration	Automatic or Manual	TDS	Verified once per shift		requirements		
	1.4; 2.11; 2.13			Per Control Plan and	Verified twice per shift		Meet specified		
A7.2	,,	Solution Temperature	Automatic	TDS	Verified twice per shift		requirements		
	1.4; 2.11; 2.13			Per Control Plan and					
A7.3	,,	Time	Automatic or Manual	TDS					
				Per Control Plan and			Meet specified		
A7.4		pH	Automatic or Manual	TDS	Verified once per shift		requirements	1	
					Dryer temperature verified twice		Meet specified		
A7.5		Drying Temperature	Automatic	TDS	per shift.		requirements		
				Per Control Plan and			. squironionto	1	
A7.6		Spin Speed	Automatic	TDS		N/A			
				Per Control Plan and			Meet specified		
A7.7		Agitation	Automatic or Manual	TDS	Verified once per shift		requirements	1	
			1	100			requirefficitio		



							Α			
The custo	mer may have a	low are subordinate to customer speci dditional requirements, e.g., inspection the auditor shall verify plater is confort	n testing, greater frequen		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
1.0		Metal Cleaning								
		Type: Alkaline Soak Cleaner and Electro Cleaners								
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:					-		<u> </u>	
		Westbrook Technologies:								
A1.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Temperature	Automatic	11/Shift	Temperature of cleaners verified every four hours from computer readout from temperature probes. Calibration of temperature probes verified once per month.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Concentration	Automatic or Manual	1/Day	Solution analysis performed once per day. Results documented and retained on file Out of range situations are reviewed and the appropriate reaction plan implemented.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet	Time is fixed on all lines.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.4		Agitation	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet	Rotation of barrel provides agitation.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.5	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Current/Voltage (As applicable)	Automatic	1/Shift	Current is constant where applicable		Amperage is monitored.			
A1.6		Solution Level	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Solution Level is verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
A1.7		Rinse	Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			



							Α	ssessment		
The custo	mer may have a	ow are subordinate to customer specific dditional requirements, e.g., inspection to he auditor shall verify plater is conformin	esting, greater frequenc		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM #	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
2.0		Acid								
		Type:			Muriatic (Hydrochloric) Acid					
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:			Muriatic (Hydrochloric) Acid					
		Chemical supplier:			UBA					
A2.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Temperature - Optional	Automatic	1/Shift	N/A					
A2.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Acid concentration is only checked once per day not once per shift.		Acid checked once per day. Review of past six months records shows no readings outside normal operating range.			
A2.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time (Less than 10 Minutes or Customer Specific)	Automatic	Continuous	Fixed Machine cycle time		Meet specified requirements			
A2.4		Inhibitor	Per Tech Data Sheet	N/A	None Used		•			
A2.5		Solution Level	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Solution Level is verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
A2.6		Rinse	Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			



							A	ssessment		
The custo	mer may have a	low are subordinate to customer spec dditional requirements, e.g., inspection the auditor shall verify plater is confor	on testing, greater frequenc		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
3.0		Acid Plating Bath								
		Type:								
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:								
		Chemical supplier:								
A3.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Temperature	Automatic	1/Shift	Temperature verified every four hours from computer readout from temperature probes. Calibration of temperature probes verified once per month.		Meet specified requirements			
A3.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time	Automatic	1/Shift			Meet specified requirements			
A3.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Current/Voltage	Automatic	1/Shift	Voltage is monitored and recorded. Amperage is not monitored.		Meet specified requirements			
A3.4		Chloride Concentration	Manual	1/Day	Solution analysis performed once per day. Results documented and retained on file Out of range situations are reviewed and the appropriate reaction plan implemented.		Meet specified requirements			
A3.5		рН	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	pH is checked once per shift		Meet specified requirements			



							Α	ssessment		<u> </u>
The custo	mer may have a	low are subordinate to customer specifi dditional requirements, e.g., inspection the auditor shall verify plater is conform	testing, greater frequenc		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
A3.6		Plating Test Cell (Hull)	Manual	1/Day	Hull Cell testing done minimum of once week on Zinc Plating solutions		Contacted Stuard Dodds of Atotech and Abbas from Enthone Inc As per letters Hull cell testing once per week is sufficient. Enthone and Atotech performing Hull Cell tests on all Zinc solutions supplied by them a minimum of once per week.			
A3.7		Metal Concentration(s)	Automatic or Manual	1/Day	Zinc Analysis performed once per week		Metal concentration done on zinc baths once per week. The zinc values do not fluctuate and once a week analysis is sufficient.			Reviewed analysis result for twelve month period. There is n significant changes in zinc concentration that would not be found by weeklyanalysis.
A3.8		Buffer (Ammonia / Boric Acid per TDS)	Manual	1/Day		N/A	N/A			
A3.9		Filtration	Continuous	1/Shift			Check of filters done an a daily basis.			
A3.10		Agitation (Rack only - others optional)	Continuous	1/Shift		N/A	N/A			
A3.11		Rinse	Per Process Sheet	1/Shift	Rinse overflows are verified a minimum of once per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
4.0		Alkaline Plating Bath			·					
		Type:								
		Size, volume:								



						Assessment				
he custo	mer may have a	ow are subordinate to customer spec dditional requirements, e.g., inspectio he auditor shall verify plater is confor	n testing, greater frequen		Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
		Proprietary name:								
		Chemical supplier:								
A4.1	1.4; 2.11; 2.13									
A4.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13									
A4.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Current/Voltage								
A4.4		Caustic Concentration								
A4.5		Plating Test Cell (Hull)							<u> </u>	
A4.6		Metal Concentration(s)								
A4.7		Filtration								
A4.8		Rinse								
5.0		Hydrogen Embrittlement Relief								
	3.6	Oven Type:			Forced Air Convection					
	3.6	Oven Temperature:			Set as per specified requirement. Normal operating set point 400 F					
6.0		Passivates								
0.0		Type:	As per process Control	Plan						
		Size, volume:								
		Proprietary name:	As per process Control	Plan						
		Chemical supplier:	As per process Control							
A6.1		Acid Activation	Per Control Plan							
A6.1.1		- Concentration	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Analysis once per shift					
A6.1.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Automatic					
A6.2	,,,	Passivate	Per Control Plan	N/A						
A6.2.1		- Concentration	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Analysis once per shift					
A6.2.2	1.4; 2.11; 2.13		Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Verified twice per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A6.2.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	- Time	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Fixed Machine cycle time					
A6.2.4	, ,	- pH	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Checked once per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A6.2.5		- Agitation	Automatic or Manual	1/Shift	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A6.2.6		- Contamination (e.g. Fe, Zn)	Per Process Sheet	1/week	Iron checked daily in house, and Zinc checked once per month by Supplier		Meet specified requirements			
A6.3		Rinse	Per Process Sheet	1/Shift	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A6.4		Dry	Per Tech Data Sheet	Per Process Sheet	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			



							Α	ssessment		
The custo	mer may have ac	ow are subordinate to customer specific Iditional requirements, e.g., inspection te ne auditor shall verify plater is conformin	esting, greater frequenc	•	Audit Findings	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	Actions Taken
ITEM#	Related PSA Question #	Category/Process Steps	Control	Monitoring						
7.0		Supplemental Treatments - Sealers and Torque Tension Modifiers								
A7.1		Concentration	Automatic or Manual	Per Control Plan and TDS	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A7.2		Solution Temperature	Automatic	Per Control Plan and TDS	Verified twice per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A7.3	1.4; 2.11; 2.13	Time	Automatic or Manual	Per Control Plan and TDS						
A7.4		рН	Automatic or Manual	Per Control Plan and TDS	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			
A7.5		Drying Temperature	Automatic	Per Control Plan and TDS	Dryer temperature verified twice per shift.		Meet specified requirements			
A7.6		Spin Speed	Automatic	Per Control Plan and TDS		N/A				
A7.7		Agitation	Automatic or Manual	Per Control Plan and TDS	Verified once per shift		Meet specified requirements			



								Asse	ssment	
The	- -		l requirement	bordinate to customer specific ts, e.g., inspection testing, gre	-		N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action
			LABORAT	FORY EQUIPMENT						
ITEM #	Related PSA Question #	Zinc/Zinc Alloy	Decorative Plating	EQUIPMENT TYPE	Verification Frequency	Calibration Frequency				
F1	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2	X	X	pH Meter/Probe	Daily	Yearly		pH Meter is calibrated using NIST traceable Buffer solutions once per week. Calibration is verified daily.		
F1.2	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2	Х	Х	Wet Analysis	N/A	N/A				
F1.3	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2	X	X	Atomic Absorption (Optional)				Atomic Absorption equipment in house. Equipment is calibrated using NIST traceable standards each time used.		
		MINI	MUM REQUI	RED TESTING CAPABILITY						



								Asse	essment	
The	•	_		bordinate to customer specific ts, e.g., inspection testing, gre			N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action
F1.4	3	X		Salt Spray Cabinet	N/A	Yearly		Salt Spray cabinet temperature probes have calibration verified minimum of once every three months.		
F1.5	3.2 & 4.2	X	Х	Thickness Tester	Daily	Yearly		Thickness testers are calibrated a minimum of once per month with a calibration verification done every shift.		
F1.6	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	STEP	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F1.7	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		X	CASS	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F1.8	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		X	Microscope	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	Freezer (POP only)	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F1.10	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	Lab Oven (POP only)	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F1.11	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	Stress (External Testing Acc.)	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F1.12	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	Sulfur by Foil (External Testing Acc.)	Daily	Yearly	N/A			
F2	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		Х	Ductility (External Testing Acc.)	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F2.1	2.14; 3.1; 4.1; 4.2		X	Pore Count/Active Sites	N/A	N/A	N/A			



							Asse	essment	
·	_		bordinate to customer specifi	•		N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action
F2.2 2.14; 3.1; 4.1;	1.2	X	Pull Tester	N/A	Yearly	N/A			
F2.3 3.2 & 4.2	Х	Х	Lab Rectifier	N/A	Yearly		Annual check done of Lab rectifier. No calibration		



				<u> </u>				Asse	essment	
The o	-		l requirements	ordinate to customer speci	-		N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action
			PROCES	SS EQUIPMENT						
ITEM	PSA Clause Number	Zinc & Zinc Alloy Plating	Decorative Plating	EQUIPMENT TYPE	Verification Frequency	Calibration Frequency				
F3		Х	Х	Machine - Return type automatic						
	3.3 & 4.3	Х	Х	Rack						
				Rack Size						
	3.3	Х		Barrel	N/A		N/A			
				Horizontal	Х					
				Oblique	N/A					
				Barrel Size						
				-volume						
				-dimensions	N/A					
F3.1		Х	Х	Hoist	N/A					
				Manual						
				Automatic	Х					
				Rack Size	N/A					
				Barrel Size						
F3.2	3.4 & 4.4	Х	Х	Rectifier Type						
				Water cooled						
				Air cooled	Х					
				Amperage controlled	Yes	0nce/year				



							Assessment				
All requirements given below are subordinate to customer specific requirements.  The customer may have additional requirements, e.g., inspection testing, greater frequencies, etc. The								Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	
ITEM	PSA Clause Number	Zinc & Zinc Alloy Plating	Decorative Plating	EQUIPMENT TYPE	Verification Frequency	Calibration Frequency					
				Voltage controlled							
F3.3		X	Х	Filters	Yes						
				Pre-pack	Sand Filters						
				Cartridge	FCD-027 B&E						
				Other							
F3.4	3.5	Х		Oven type	Forced Air Convection						
				Continuous	No						
				Batch	Yes						
	3.5	Х		Chart recorder	Yes	Once every three months		Meets specified requirements			
	3.6	Х		Thermocouples	Yes	Once every three months		Meets specified requirements			
				number		2					
	3.3	Х		Basket type							
				Expanded metal							
				Perforated		Х					
				Solid							
				Size							
F3.5		Х	Х	Controllers							
				Automatic feeders	Yes on some Lines where applicable						
				Timers	Yes on some Lines where applicable						



#### 7 0.000.11 1.000.00 0,01

							Assessment				
All requirements given below are subordinate to customer specific requirements.  The customer may have additional requirements, e.g., inspection testing, greater frequencies, etc. The							N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Needs Immediate Action	
				Temperature	Automatic temperature Controllers.	Once per month		Meets specified requirements			
				Volume							
F3.6		X	Χ	Agitation type							
				Air	verified every shift		N/A				
				Cathode rod	N/A						
F3.7		Х	Х	Water source			N/A				
				Тар	Yes	X	N/A				
				RO			N/A				
				Deionized			N/A				